

REMARKS

The Examiner's action of August 20, 2004 is noted in which the claims are rejected under a double-patenting rejection, under 35 USC 112 and under 35 USC 102.


Applicant provides herewith a Terminal Disclaimer and has amended the claims to reflect the allowable subject matter cited in the claims of the patent referred to in the Terminal Disclaimer, but in which at least one guided practice is claimed.

Applicant re-inserts the arguments regarding the patentability of the claims asserted to obtain allowance of the above-captioned patent and further argues allowability in the case in which there is only one guided practice as opposed to a series.

Applicant asserts that the remainder of the elements of the claims including the use of only a single guided practice activity are not shown in the prior art; nor would they be obvious, for the reasons stated in the parent case.

Allowance of the claims and issuance of the case is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert K. Tendler
Reg. No.: 24,581
65 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, MA 02110
Tel: (617) 723-7268

Date: February 17, 2005